

HUMBOLDT HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS COALITION

HUD CONTINUUM OF CARE PROGRAM

2015 RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING MEASUREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Reviewer: _____

1. Outcomes

45 Points

Has the project been performing satisfactorily and effectively addressing the need(s) for which it was designed?

Keep in mind that outcomes will naturally be lower with more difficult to serve populations **such as chronically homeless people and homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses.**

	Scoring Factor	Points Possible and Proposed Scoring Guidelines	Project Score
1A	Is the project at capacity in serving the number of homeless people it is designed to serve?	10 points Scaled scoring system: 10pts. 92-100% 8pts. 84-91.9% 6pts. 76-83.9.9% 4pts. 68-75.9% 2pts. 60-67.9% 0pts. 0-59.9%	Scored by HomeBase

1B	Proposals may receive points under either of the following criteria (depending on project type)based on outcomes reported in the APR:	20 points	
1B	<p>If PERMANENT HOUSING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do at least 80% of formerly homeless individuals who enter the HUD permanent housing project remain in the permanent housing project or exit to other permanent housing? • If the project has failed to meet objectives in previous years, how is the project changing to improve success rates? (Consider requiring projects failing to meet objectives to submit with their applications a plan for improvement.) 	Projects should meet the minimum benchmarks outlined to score 12 or more points, taking into consideration the population served. (Ranking Committee may award up to 20 points depending on performance.)	
1B	<p>If TRANSITIONAL HOUSING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The percentage of homeless persons who have moved from the HUD transitional housing project to a form of permanent housing is at least 85%. • If the project has failed to meet objectives in previous years, how is the project changing to improve success rates? (Consider requiring projects failing to meet objectives to submit with their applications a plan for improvement.) 	Projects should meet the minimum benchmarks outlined to score 12 or more points, taking into consideration the population served. (Ranking Committee may award up to 20 points depending on performance.)	

1C	<p>Mainstream Services (including employment): Project demonstrates success in connecting clients with mainstream resources and employment.</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did average income increase or stay the same? There should be a 20% increase in income at exit or follow-up versus entry to score 6 or more points for most projects. Consider populations served and project type. • Have project participants gained employment between entry and exit or follow-up? • Are project participants receiving all benefits for which they are eligible? • Are project participants receiving financial literacy and employment assistance? 	15 points	
----	---	------------------	--

2. Budget and Cost Effectiveness

15 points

	Scoring Measurement	Points Possible	Project Score
2A	<p>Is project cost-effective compared to like projects (e.g. permanent housing, transitional housing)?</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special needs of clients being served (some populations are more expensive to serve than others) • Whether project salaries/benefits are competitive (i.e. staff are fairly compensated) 	10 points	
2B	Is budget clearly articulated, with no unnecessary or unexplained items?	5 points	

3. Agency Capacity

35 points

	Scoring Measurement	Points Possible and Proposed Scoring Guidelines	Project Score
3A	<p>Does the agency have the expertise, staff, procedural, and administrative structure needed to meet all grant audit, administrative, and reporting requirements?</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any outstanding HUD findings or concerns and/or financial audit findings. • Has HUD deobligated any of the agency's/project's grant funds? 	15 points	
3B	<p>Is the agency/project actively participating in HMIS?</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • The percentage of required Data Elements the project has entered for all clients. • The percentage of HMIS Committee meetings the project has attended. 	15 Points	

3C	Does the agency participate in Continuum of Care-related planning meetings?	5 points Scores will be scaled based on number of possible meetings. Sample scale might look like this: 5 points: 30 meetings 4 points: 25 meetings 3 points: 20 meetings 2 points: 15 meetings 1 point: 10 meetings 0 points: fewer than 10 meetings	Scored by HomeBase
3D	Does the project have leverage totaling 200% of its grant funds?	5 points Scaled scoring system: 5 points: 200% 4 points: 175% 3 points: 150% 2 points: 125% 1 point: 100%	Scored by HomeBase

Total Points Possible: 100

4. Bonus Points: Progress Toward HEARTH Measures

	Scoring Measurement	Points Possible	Project Score
--	----------------------------	------------------------	----------------------

4A	<p>Permanent Housing Projects: Permanent Housing Projects use a Housing First approach to minimize barriers to entry and place the most vulnerable clients</p> <p>Does the project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Admit persons to the project without requiring any of the following <i>prior to project entry</i>? (Note: refers to project policy, not landlord/property management policy. However, project should also demonstrate efforts to work with landlords to minimize landlord barriers) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sobriety • Minimum Income • Background Checks • Credit Checks 	3 bonus points	
4A	<p>Transitional Housing Projects:</p> <p>Is the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serving a HUD priority population for transitional housing (youth, survivors of domestic violence, or substance abuse recovery) OR • Working toward transitioning to CoC-funded permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing OR • Seeking alternative funding to support the transitional housing project 	3 bonus points	